1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHOSETIS
	14-cr-10143-WGY
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	United States vs. Josh A. Wairi * JUDGE'S FINDINGS *
LO	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
l1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	BEFORE: Honorable Judge William G. Young
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	Richard H. Romanow Official Court Reporter
20	United States District Court 1 Courthouse Way
21	Boston, Massachusetts 02210
22	September 14, 2015
23	
24	
25	

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Josh Wairi, in consideration of the offenses of which you stand convicted, the principles of 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), the information from the United States attorney, your attorney, the probation officer, and yourself, this Court sentences you to 12 years, 144 months, in the custody of the United States Attorney General on each of the counts of conviction, the sentence on each count to run concurrent, one with the other. Thereafter the Court imposes upon you 8 years of supervised release with all the general and special conditions set forth in the presentence report. The Court imposes upon you no fine due to your inability to pay a fine and imposes upon you a -- the special assessment required by the law of \$200. You shall have credit toward the service of this sentence from April 17th, 2014 until to date. Let me explain this sentence to you.

The offenses of which you stand convicted, you seem now to understand it, are a most hideous form of child abuse. We have made a mistake in our language in calling it "child pornography." It is not. It is child obscenity. It is the visual depiction of actual children actually being abused in the most vile, disgusting, and abusive ways. It is an extraordinarily serious crime.

As carefully defined by the Congress, it is no infringement on the First Amendment. It is an attempt, a careful attempt trying to preserve children from sexual predators and among those predators, whether they engage in the abuse or not, are those who seek out and possess these visual depictions.

Now, this case is an extraordinarily troubling case. On the one hand, I meant what I said, this was a brilliantly defended case before a courageous American jury who properly drew a line and acquitted you of those offenses for which you were not guilty.

I've been very impressed, and I want to put it on the record, by a law review that recently came out,
Laura E. Avery, "The Categorical Failure of Child
Pornography Law," 21 Widener Law Review 51, this year,
2015. Ms. Avery points out that while the Congress has been very careful in the language it has used in the laws restricting this type of child abuse, she faults courts for being less than careful and courts "twisting the language, because the actual abuse is so horrific, to reach conduct where the language simply will not support that result." This jury saw through that.

It is crystal clear to this Court that under the definitions of "sadomasochism," followed by courts higher than that one, this Court would have been

warranted in finding an enhancement for such depictions, nevertheless this Court did not so find under what it considers a fair reading of the law applied to the facts before it. That's on the one hand. On the other hand, everything Ms. Sullivan so persuasively argued is absolutely the fact in the eyes of this Court.

You are a serial offender year after year after year, it is because of you that children have been and are being abused in this fashion. This sentence is sufficient but no greater than necessary to vindicate the purposes of our criminal laws and the Court has no hesitancy in imposing it.

Mr. Carney is right in pointing out that "the system" has a variety of ways of dealing with such criminal offenders, but it isn't the system that imposes the sentence, it's a particular judge, someone has to impose a sentence, and in this case that falls to me, I impose this sentence, and in doing so I speak for the community at large, carefully, reflectively, having given you the benefit of the rule of lenity.

Nevertheless this is one of the worst -- and I have no equivocation in saying it, one of the worst offenses this Court has seen of this type. This is a fair and a just sentence.

Now, you do have the right to appeal from any

findings or rulings the Court has made against you. 1 2 Should you appeal and should an appeal be successful in 3 whole or in part and the case remanded, the case remanded, you'll be resentenced before another court. 4 5 Mr. Carney, if an appeal is decided upon and you want 6 transcript, seek it from this session of the court 7 because I'll turn it around right away. 8 MR. CARNEY: I shall, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand? 9 10 MR. CARNEY: Yes, sir, I do. 11 THE COURT: That's the sentence of the Court. MR. ORKAND: Your Honor, if I may? The government 12 has filed a motion for a preliminary forfeiture as well. 13 14 THE COURT: They have and I should recite it now, 15 and I always need reminding, I acted on it this morning, the motion is allowed and it shall be reflected in the 16 17 judgment. Thank you. Yes? 18 19 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, just two 20 clarifications. The special conditions that you've 21 imposed on Pages 45 through 48, we would ask that 17 through 19, which deal with restitution, not be imposed 22 23 because no restitution claim has been made. 24 THE COURT: That was my intention and I thank you 25 for that.

What else? 1 2 PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you. 3 And the other thing is we'd ask for two judicial recommendations, one for psychological care and one for 4 5 sex offender-specific treatment and a designation to a facility which can address the sex offender treatment 6 7 needed. 8 MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, the defendant joins that recommendation. 9 THE COURT: And that would be Butner, wouldn't it? 10 11 PROBATION OFFICER: It's not --MR. CARNEY: Not necessarily, your Honor, there 12 are now, I believe, three facilities run by the Bureau 13 of Prisons where special sex offender treatment is --14 15 THE COURT: So the proper recommendation is to one 16 of such facilities as maintained by the Bureau of 17 Prisons. PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, commensurate with 18 19 security. Thank you, your Honor.. 20 THE COURT: It is so ordered. And I thank you. 21 He's remanded to the custody of the marshals. We'll call the next case. 22 23

24

25